

Cleaving Dihydrogen with Tetra(*o*-tolyl)diborane(4)

Nana Tsukahara,[†] Hiroki Asakawa,[†] Ka-Ho Lee,[‡] Zhenyang Lin,^{*,‡,§} and Makoto Yamashita^{*,§,¶}

[†]Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Chuo University, 1-13-27, Kasuga, Bunkyo-ku, 112-8551 Tokyo, Japan

[‡]Department of Chemistry, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon 999077, Hong Kong

[§]Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8603 Aichi, Japan

[¶]Research Development Initiative, Chuo University, 1-13-27 Kasuga, Bunkyo-ku, 112-8551 Tokyo, Japan

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Tetra(*o*-tolyl)diborane(4), **1**, was synthesized and characterized experimentally as well as theoretically by density functional theory (DFT) calculations. Exposure of **1** to H₂ (1 bar) at room temperature afforded the corresponding di(*o*-tolyl)hydroborane through cleavage of the H–H and B–B bonds. DFT calculations suggested a diarylboryl anion character for the transition state.


Since the discovery of heterogeneously catalyzed hydrogenations by Sabatier,¹ catalytic and stoichiometric hydrogenations with H₂ have represented major research fields in molecular transition-metal chemistry.² However, the limited availability of precious metals as hydrogenation catalysts has fueled the quest for more sustainable options. Two such recently developed alternatives are (i) the replacement of the precious metals with base metals and (ii) the use of main-group elements which can react with H₂.³ The latter can be subdivided into two classes: (i) frustrated Lewis pairs (FLPs)⁴ and (ii) main-group element compounds in low oxidation states. The latter class involves group 13, 14, or 15 elements such as C(II), Si(II), Ge(I), Sn(I), P(II), B(0), B(II), Al(I), and Ga(I).⁵ Our recent report regarding the deprotonation of H₂ with boryllithium⁶ is also relevant in this context, as boryl anions can be considered as a B(I) species. As far as reactive trivalent group 13 compounds are concerned, the reaction of an antiaromatic borole with H₂,⁷ the reversible cleavage of H₂ with dianionic diboraanthracenes,⁸ and FLP-like reactivity of diaminegallium with cooperation of ligand⁹ have been recently reported.

Recently, we reported the synthesis of the unsymmetrical diborane(4) pinB-BMes₂¹⁰ and its reactivity toward CO and *tert*-butylisocyanide.^{10a} This diborane(4) reacts also with alkynes to form diborylalkenes,^{10b} and the regioselectivity of the diboration to afford *syn*- or *anti*-diborylalkenes can be controlled by addition of a catalytic amount of base and 1,2-dimethoxyethane. This diborane(4) furthermore reacts with 2,6-dimethylphenylisocyanide to furnish a 1,2-oxaboretane ring through a ring-contraction of Bpin.^{10c} This characteristic reactivity of pinB-BMes₂ was attributed to the high electron affinity arising from the two vacant p-orbitals of the two boron atoms in parallel arrangement.^{10d} Similarly high electron affinity

was reported for Mes₂B-B(Mes)Ph, which was synthesized from (MeO)₂B-B(OMe)₂ and is capable of forming the corresponding radical anion and dianion.¹¹ Moreover, Mes₂B-B(Mes)Ph represents the only reported example of a tetraaryldiborane(4). Herein, we report the synthesis of tetra(*o*-tolyl)diborane(4), **1**, and its reactivity toward H₂ at room temperature, as well as a mechanistic study based on DFT calculations.

By careful choice of the precursor, **1** could be obtained in 30% isolated yield from the reaction between B₂cat₂ and *o*-tolMgBr (Scheme 1). The molecular structure of **1** was

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Tetra(*o*-tolyl)diborane(4), **1**



determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 1). In the crystal, **1** adopts an almost orthogonally twisted structure [C8–B1–B2–C15 = 101.9(7)°], similar to that of Mes₂B-B(Mes)Ph.^{11a} The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** exhibited four magnetically equivalent *o*-tol groups at room temperature, indicating free rotation around the B–B and B–C bonds on the




Figure 1. Molecular structure of **1** (thermal ellipsoids set at 50% probability; one of two independent molecules and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity).

Received: January 27, 2017

Published: February 6, 2017

NMR time scale. The ^{11}B NMR spectrum of **1** in C_6D_6 showed a broad signal at 89 ppm. Dissolution of **1** in THF did not alter the ^{11}B NMR chemical shift, indicating that THF does not coordinate to the boron atom in **1**.

To estimate the electron affinity of **1**, electrochemical measurements and DFT calculations were conducted. The cyclic voltammogram of a THF solution of **1** showed a reversible reduction wave at -2.1 V (vs $\text{Cp}_2\text{Fe}/\text{Cp}_2\text{Fe}^+$). The first reduction potential of **1** is thus less negative than that of the previously reported pinB-BMes₂ (-2.5 V). Similar to our previous report,^{10d} we also calculated the dependency of the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) level and the free energy of **1** on the torsion angle C–B–B–C. The optimized structure of **1** contains a torsion angle of -96.6° , which is comparable to the crystal structure and leads to a calculated LUMO level of -1.29 eV. Increasing the torsion angle of **1** from -90° to 0° in increments of 10° lowers the LUMO energy (as well as the stability), as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Dependency of the LUMO energy level (in eV) and the relative stability (in kcal/mol) of **1** on the torsion angle of the C(ipso)–B–B–C(ipso) moiety.

When the torsion angle approaches 0° , the LUMO energy drops to -2.20 eV and the corresponding structure is destabilized by 8.51 kcal/mol. This relatively mild destabilization suggests that rotation around the B–B bond should occur at room temperature. The lowering of the LUMO of **1** upon rotation around the B–B bond should be attributed to the overlap of the two vacant p-orbitals on the two boron centers (Figure 3). The LUMO of **1** (-96.6°) in the ground state consists of π^* -orbitals of two *o*-tol rings and one vacant p-orbital of the boron atom. The LUMO+1 is of similar energy and mainly localized on the other B(*o*-tol)₂ moiety, while the LUMO of **1** (0.0°) exhibits two completely merged vacant p-





Figure 3. Frontier orbitals of the rotational isomers of **1** at C–B–B–C = 0.0° (top) and C–B–B–C = -96.6° (bottom).

orbitals on the two boron atoms. It should be noted that the HOMOs of both rotational isomers exhibit a B–B σ -bond character.

Tetra(*o*-tolyl)diborane(4), **1**, reacts directly with H_2 . Exposing a hexane solution of **1** for 2 h to H_2 (1 bar) at room temperature afforded di(*o*-tolyl)hydroborane, **2**, in 52% yield (Scheme 2). This reaction could be considered as a

Scheme 2. Reaction of **1** with H_2 and Subsequent Reactions



stoichiometric counterpart of the previously reported hydrogenolysis of diborane(4) by group 10 metal catalysts.¹² The crystal structure of **2** revealed a dimeric structure with hydride bridges (Figure 4, left), which is similar to those of





Figure 4. Molecular structures of **2** (left) and **4** (right) (thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability; hydrogen atoms except for B–H are omitted for clarity).

previously reported dimeric diarylhydroboranes.¹³ The solid-state IR spectrum of **2** exhibited a characteristic μ -H vibration at 1520 cm^{-1} , which is comparable to that of $[\text{HB}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_2]_2$.^{13b} Dissolution of **2** in C_6D_6 or CDCl_3 led to five B-bonded ^1H nuclei and three ^{11}B nuclei in the $^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\}$ and ^{11}B NMR spectra, respectively. This behavior should be attributed to a monomer/dimer equilibrium¹⁴ and a potential coordination of solvent molecules to the highly Lewis acidic boron center of the monomer.^{13b,15} In contrast, dissolution of **2** in THF-*d*₈ led to a simple NMR spectrum, indicating formation of **3-*d*₈**, which could not be isolated because the coordinating THF was labile. Addition of pyridine to isolated **2** without solvent at room temperature afforded single crystals of the corresponding pyridine–hydroborane complex **4**, which was completely characterized by NMR spectroscopy, X-ray analysis, and high-resolution fast-atom bombardment mass spectroscopy.

To get a better understanding of the detailed pathway for the reaction of **1** with H_2 , DFT calculations were performed to show that the overall reaction consists of four steps (Scheme 3). The reaction should be initiated by coordination of the H–H bond to one of the vacant p-orbitals of one of the boron atoms to afford intermediate **5**, in which the C–B–B–C torsion angle changed to -55.1° , indicating that the strong electron affinity of **1** enables the binding to H_2 . Upon coordination of H_2 , both the H–H and B–B bonds should be elongated, and the geometry of the H_2 -coordinated boron atom should become pyramidalized. Subsequently, cleavage of

Scheme 3. Proposed Reaction Mechanism and Energy Profile for the Hydrogenolysis of **1**^a

^aAr = *o*-tolyl; calculated at M06/6-31G(d) (SMD: benzene). Dashed lines correspond to the formation/cleavage of bonds or three-center, two-electron bonds. Relative free energies and electronic energies (in parentheses) are given in kcal/mol.

the B–B σ -bond should be accompanied by a proton-migrating H–H bond cleavage via TS_{5,6}, leading to the formation of the dimeric hydroborane intermediate **6**, which contains a hydride and an *o*-tolyl group as bridging ligands. Here, it should be noted that in TS_{5,6}, the *ipso* carbon atom of one *o*-tolyl group starts to interact with the boron atom to which it is not directly attached [C–B 2.347 Å]. TS_{5,6} represents the rate-determining step of the reaction sequence and requires an overall activation free energy of 19.8 kcal/mol. The resulting intermediate (**6**) is thus located 8.3 kcal/mol below the reference point (**1** + H₂). Intermediate **6** involves a characteristic B–C–B three-center, two-electron bond, which has recently been confirmed.¹⁶ Dissociation of **6** to afford monomeric hydroborane **7**, and the subsequent dimerization of **7** to afford the hydride-bridged dimer **2** through the two low-energy transition states TS_{6,7} and TS_{7,2} include relatively low activation barriers. The overall reaction from **1** + H₂ to give **6** is exergonic by 20.1 kcal/mol.

To characterize the transition state for the H–H bond cleavage, an NBO analysis was performed. The calculated natural charge on the migrating H1 (+0.306) is more positive than that on H2 (+0.200), indicating that H1 should be transferred as a proton. The NBO analysis on TS_{5,6} showed that the vacant orbital of the migrating proton H1 interacts with both the bonding orbitals of the H–B and B–B bonds (NBO 91 and NBO92, Figure 5a,b), and exhibits significant second-order perturbation energies (E_2) of 352.52 and 200.49 kcal/mol. Thus, the transition states cleaving H–H bond (TS_{5,6}) may be described as an intramolecular deprotonation by an sp²-sp³ diborane¹⁷ that exhibits a diarylboryl anion character (Figure 5c). The proton-migrating character of TS_{5,6} is similar to TSs for the reaction of H₂ with boryllithium,⁶ an antiaromatic borole,⁷ and phosphinoborane.¹⁸

In conclusion, tetra(*o*-tolyl)diborane(**4**), **1**, was synthesized and characterized experimentally as well as theoretically by DFT calculations. Exposure of **1** to H₂ at room temperature afforded the corresponding diarylhydroborane via cleavage of




Figure 5. Selected natural bond orbitals: (a) NBO91 and (b) NBO92 of TS_{5,6} (numbering of atoms is based on the calculations). (c) Schematic illustration of the diarylboryl anion character of B3 in TS_{5,6}.

the H–H and B–B bonds. DFT calculations suggested a diarylboryl anion character for the transition state.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.7b00924.

Experimental and computational details (PDF)
Crystallographic data for **1**, **2**, and **4** (CIF)
DFT coordinates (XYZ)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*chzlin@ust.hk

*makoto@oec.apchem.nagoya-u.ac.jp

ORCID

Ka-Ho Lee: 0000-0002-8435-4986

Zhenyang Lin: 0000-0003-4104-8767

Makoto Yamashita: 0000-0002-3665-5311

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Nos. JP24109012 and 26288019, the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong (HKUST16303614 and C5023-14G), CREST from the JST (14529307), and The Asahi Glass Foundation. The authors thank Profs. T. Hiyama (Chuo U) and Y. Nishibayashi (U-Tokyo) for providing access to an X-ray diffractometer and a mass spectrometer. This paper is dedicated to Prof. Takayuki Kawashima on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Sabatier, P. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **1926**, *18*, 1005–1008.
- (2) (a) *The Handbook of Homogeneous Hydrogenation*; de Vries, J. G., Elsevier, C. J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH: Weinheim, 2008. (b) Hartwig, J. F. Oxidative addition. In *Organotransition Metal Chemistry: From Bonding to Catalysis*; University Science Books: Sausalito, CA, 2010; Chapter 7.
- (3) (a) Power, P. P. *Nature* **2010**, *463*, 171–177. (b) Power, P. P. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2011**, *44*, 627–637. (c) Martin, D.; Soleilhavoup, M.; Bertrand, G. *Chem. Sci.* **2011**, *2*, 389–399.
- (4) (a) Stephan, D. W. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 8526–8533. (b) Welch, G. C.; Juan, R. R. S.; Masuda, J. D.; Stephan, D. W. *Science* **2006**, *314*, 1124–1126. (c) Chase, P. A.; Welch, G. C.; Jurca, T.; Stephan, D. W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 8050–8053. (d) Stephan, D. W.; Erker, G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 46–76. (e) Stephan, D. W. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2012**, *10*, 5740–5746. (f) Hounjet, L. J.; Stephan, D. W. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2014**, *18*, 385–391. (g) Stephan, D. W. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 306–316.

- (h) Stephan, D. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 10018–10032.
- (i) Stephan, D. W.; Erker, G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 6400–6441. (j) Weicker, S. A.; Stephan, D. W. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **2015**, *88*, 1003–1016.
- (5) (a) Spikes, G. H.; Fettinger, J. C.; Power, P. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 12232–12233. (b) Frey, G. D.; Lavallo, V.; Donnadieu, B.; Schoeller, W. W.; Bertrand, G. *Science* **2007**, *316*, 439–441. (c) Peng, Y.; Brynda, M.; Ellis, B. D.; Fettinger, J. C.; Rivard, E.; Power, P. P. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 6042–6044. (d) Zhu, Z.; Wang, X.; Peng, Y.; Lei, H.; Fettinger, J. C.; Rivard, E.; Power, P. P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 2031–2034. (e) Seifert, A.; Scheid, D.; Linti, G.; Zessin, T. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 12114–12120. (f) Shoji, Y.; Matsuo, T.; Hashizume, D.; Fueno, H.; Tanaka, K.; Tamao, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 8258–8260. (g) Li, J.; Schenk, C.; Goedecke, C.; Frenking, G.; Jones, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 18622–18625. (h) Protchenko, A. V.; Birjkumar, K. H.; Dange, D.; Schwarz, A. D.; Vidovic, D.; Jones, C.; Kaltsoyannis, N.; Mountford, P.; Aldridge, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 6500–6503. (i) Schäfer, A.; Reißmann, M.; Schäfer, A.; Schmidtman, M.; Müller, T. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 9381–9386. (j) Hadlington, T. J.; Jones, C. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, 50, 2321–2323. (k) Vasko, P.; Wang, S.; Tuononen, H. M.; Power, P. P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 3802–3805. (l) Arrowsmith, M.; Böhnke, J.; Braunschweig, H.; Celik, M. A.; Dellermann, T.; Hammond, K. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 17169–17172. (m) Nagata, K.; Murosaki, T.; Agou, T.; Sasamori, T.; Matsuo, T.; Tokitoh, N. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 12877–12880. (n) Hinz, A.; Schulz, A.; Villinger, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 12214–12218.
- (6) Dettenrieder, N.; Aramaki, Y.; Wolf, B. M.; Maichle-Mössmer, C.; Zhao, X.; Yamashita, M.; Nozaki, K.; Anwender, R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 6259–6262.
- (7) (a) Fan, C.; Mercier, L. G.; Piers, W. E.; Tuononen, H. M.; Parvez, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 9604–9606. (b) Houghton, A. Y.; Karttunen, V. A.; Fan, C.; Piers, W. E.; Tuononen, H. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 941–947.
- (8) von Grotthuss, E.; Diefenbach, M.; Bolte, M.; Lerner, H.-W.; Holthausen, M. C.; Wagner, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 14067–14071.
- (9) Abdalla, J. A. B.; Riddlestone, I. M.; Tirfoin, R.; Aldridge, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 5098–5102.
- (10) (a) Asakawa, H.; Lee, K.-H.; Lin, Z.; Yamashita, M. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 4245. (b) Kojima, C.; Lee, K.-H.; Lin, Z.; Yamashita, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 6662–6669. (c) Katsuma, Y.; Asakawa, H.; Lee, K.-H.; Lin, Z.; Yamashita, M. *Organometallics* **2016**, *35*, 2563–2566. (d) Asakawa, H.; Lee, K.-H.; Furukawa, K.; Lin, Z.; Yamashita, M. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 4267–4271.
- (11) (a) Moezzi, A.; Olmstead, M. M.; Bartlett, R. A.; Power, P. P. *Organometallics* **1992**, *11*, 2383–2388. (b) Moezzi, A.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 2715–2717. (c) Power, P. P. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1992**, *198–200*, 443–447.
- (12) Braunschweig, H.; Guethlein, F.; Mailänder, L.; Marder, T. B. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 14831–14835.
- (13) (a) Entwistle, C. D.; Marder, T. B.; Smith, P. S.; Howard, J. A. K.; Fox, M. A.; Mason, S. A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2003**, *680*, 165–172. (b) Parks, D. J.; Piers, W. E.; Yap, G. P. A. *Organometallics* **1998**, *17*, 5492–5503. (c) Hübner, A.; Qu, Z.-W.; Englert, U.; Bolte, M.; Lerner, H.-W.; Holthausen, M. C.; Wagner, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 4596–4609. (d) Seven, Ö.; Qu, Z.-W.; Zhu, H.; Bolte, M.; Lerner, H.-W.; Holthausen, M. C.; Wagner, M. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 11284–11295.
- (14) Stable structures of trimer and tetramer were not obtained by DFT calculations; for details, see SI.
- (15) The coordination of B(C₆F₅)₃ to toluene in the gas phase was calculated to be thermoneutral; see: Timoshkin, A. Y.; Frenking, G. *Organometallics* **2008**, *27*, 371–380.
- (16) Hübner, A.; Diefenbach, M.; Bolte, M.; Lerner, H.-W.; Holthausen, M. C.; Wagner, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 12514–12518.
- (17) Dewhurst, R. D.; Neeve, E. C.; Braunschweig, H.; Marder, T. B. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 9594–9607.
- (18) Geier, S. J.; Gilbert, T. M.; Stephan, D. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 12632–12633.